UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,906	07/07/2003	Paul Edward Stamets	PS-LifeBox	7924
7590 11/13/2007 William R. Hyde 1833 10TH STREET			EXAMINER	
			AFREMOVA, VERA	
Penrose, CO 81240			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1657	
			<u></u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/614,906	STAMETS, PAUL EDWARD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Vera Afremova	1657				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 At	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 August 2007</u> .					
,						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 27,33,34,42,43,48,98 and 99 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 27, 33, 34, 42, 43, 48, 98 and 99 is/are objected to.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. are rejected.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawing	nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
·						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 1657

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/30/2007 has been entered.

Claims 27, 33, 34, 42, 43, 48, 98 and 99 as amended (8/30/2007) are pending and under examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 27, 33, 34, 42, 43, 48, 98 and 99 as amended remain/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 4,589,225 (Stensaas) as explained in the prior office action.

Claims are directed to a composition that is a delivery system for mycotechnologies as intended to benefit plant growth wherein the composition comprises 1) a generic "cardboard box" material, 2) a fungal inoculant of generic saprophytic and mycorrhizal fungi in forms of spores, mycelium, powdered mushrooms and/or combinations thereof; and 3) generic seeds; wherein the cardboard box material is infused with the fungal inoculant and seeds. Some claims

Art Unit: 1657

are further directed to seeds of various plants. Some claims are further drawn to incorporation of liquids, glues, adhesives, etc. into the composition. Some claims are further drawn to the use of cardboard material that is corrugated or pressed.

US 4,589,225 (Stensaas) discloses a composition that is a delivery system for mycotechnologies intended to benefit and to fertilize crops and other plants. The disclosed composition comprises 1) a "primary packaging" material, 2) a fungal inoculant or "propagules of MF" microorganisms and 3) seeds; for example: see at col. 4, lines 1-9.

The disclosed seeds belong to generic plants including crops and woody plants within the broadest meaning of the pending claims 33 and 48.

The disclosed fungal inoculant or "propagules of MF" include spores and mycelium or hyphae (col. 5, line 17; col. 8, line 40). The disclosed "MF" microorganisms are generic mycorrhizal fungi that include the ecto- and endo- forms that are capable to colonize both root surfaces and root insides (col.1, lines 30-40) and, thus, the "MF" microbial inoculant as disclosed falls within the broadest reasonable meaning of the claimed terms "saprophytic" (capable of obtaining nutrients from non-living matter including soil nutrients) and "mycorrhizal fungi" (obtaining nutrients from plant host) as recited in claims 1 and 98.

The disclosed "primary packaging" is a "cardboard" box material such as cellulose fibers (col. 5, lines 23-26) that is shaped into desired forms including corrugated cardboard-type packages or generic boxes (col. 10, lines 24-33) within the meaning of the claims 1 and 99, for example. The cited patent teaches the concept of cardboard box material being "infused" with the fungal inoculant and seeds (fig. 7, for example) since the fungal spores, fungal mycelium and

Art Unit: 1657

plant seeds are incorporated into the cellulose support matrix that is shaped into strips or cardboard packages (col. 10, lines 26-33).

The disclosed delivery system might be dry, moist or wet (col. 4, line 29) and, thus, it comprises liquid that is present or that is removed within the meaning of the pending claims 34 and 38-41. The disclosed delivery system incorporates glues, adhesives, etc.; for example: see col. 8, lines 48-49.

Thus, the cited patent US 4,589,225 (Stensaas) teaches a delivery system for mycotechnologies that comprises identical components as required for the claimed product. Thus, the cited patent US 4,589,225 (Stensaas) anticipates the claimed invention.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 27, 33, 34, 42, 43, 48, 98 and 99 as amended remain/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 4,589,225 (Stensaas) taken with Ineichen et al. ("Changes in the fungus-specific, soluble-carbohydrate pool during rapid and synchronous ectomycorrhiza formation of *Picea abies* with *Pisolithus tinctorius*". Mycorrhiza. 1992, 2(1), pages 1-7), US 5,022,182 (Anderson) and Fravel et al. ("Availability and application of biocontrol agents". Biological and Cultural Tests. 1996. Vol. 11, pages 1-7).

Claims as above.

Art Unit: 1657

The cited patent US 4,589,225 (Stensaas) is relied upon as explained above for the disclosure of a product such as a mycotechnologie delivery system intended to benefit plant growth and to fertilize crops and other plants wherein the product comprises cellulose matrix used for making cardboard packages that incorporates or "infused" with fungal mycorrhizal inoculant and plant seeds. The fungal mycorrhizal inoculant and plant seeds are generic species as disclosed by US 4,589,225 (Stensaas). However, the reference by Ineichen et al. demonstrates (see entire document including abstract) that the beneficial mycorrhizal fungi such as *Pisolithus tinctorius* are capable to develop ectomycorrhiza on root systems of plants such as *Picea abies* and they are also capable to colonize the cardboard materials, thus, being both mycorrhizal and saprophytic fungal inoculant.

The other references US 5,022,182 (Anderson) and Fravel et al. are relied upon for the teaching about various biocontrol products that are beneficial for plant growth and crop fertilization wherein the biocontrol agents include various fungi including mycorrhizal (US 5,022,182) and saprophytic (Fravel et al.) fungal inoculants. The reference teach that the products are applied in many ways including seed treatment and provided in many forms including various packaging for combination of seeds with fungal inoculants.

to fertilize crops and other plants use of to US 4,589,225 (Stensaas) is lacking particular disclosure about the presence of additional "educational" materials and rescue kit components made from or packaged in cardboard materials. However, US 5,022,182 (Anderson) demonstrates incorporation of informational tags and indicators fabricated from cardboard (col. 8, lines 14-17) into the delivery systems of seeds and plant fertilizers (Fig. 1-9) including beneficial microorganisms (col. 9, lines 17-19).

Art Unit: 1657

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to obtain various biocontrol products as intended to benefit plant growth and crop fertilization including various fungal mycorrhizal and saprophytic inoculants because the biocontrol products are applied in many ways including seed treatment and provided in many forms including various packaging for combination of seeds with fungal inoculants as adequately demonstrated by the cited prior art. Thus, the claimed invention as a whole was clearly *prima facie* obvious, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

The claimed subject matter fails to patentably distinguish over the state art as represented be the cited references. Therefore, the claims are properly rejected under 35 USC § 103.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/30/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

1. With regard to the claim rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 4,589,225 (Stensaas) Applicant argues that the cited patent does not teaches the use of both "saprophytic" and "mycorrhizal" fungal inoculants (response page 5, par. 2). However, the instant claims as written are drawn to the use of a single fungal inoculant or "a fungal inoculant" as claimed that is selected from the Markush group. Moreover, the pending claims are not limited to any specific and/or mutually exclusive representatives of "saprophytic" and "mycorrhizal" fungal inoculants as argued. The cited patent US 4,589,225 (Stensaas) clearly discloses the use of "MF" fungi wherein the "MF" fungal inoculant include both ecto- and endoforms capable to colonize root surfaces and root insides (col.1, lines 30-40) and, thus, the "MF"

Art Unit: 1657

microbial inoculant as disclosed by the cited prior art falls within the broadest reasonable meaning of both claimed terms "saprophytic" (capable of obtaining nutrients from non-living matter including soil nutrients) and "mycorrhizal fungi" (obtaining nutrients from plant host) as recited in claims 1 and 98. Moreover, the "mycorrhizal" fungi, that colonize the plant roots and utilize fixed carbon from the plant photosynthates, are also "saprophytic" fungi since they obtain nutrients from non-living matter in soil including decaying organic debris in soil, for example: organic phosphorous compounds (US 4,589,225 at col. 1, lines 43-50 and col. 2, line 37).

Furthermore, accordingly to the applicant's definitions in the as-filed specification (page 3, lines 5-7) the claimed term "saprophytic fungi" is also applied to those fungi that "form symbiotic, mutually beneficial relationship with a number agricultural crops" or plants. Thus, the functional characteristics of the claimed fungal groups "saprophytic" and "mycorrhiza" fungi are the same and/or overlapping as intended by applicant when the instant claims are read in the light of the specification.

Applicant's argument (response pages 5-6) that the cited patent US 4,589,225 (Stensaas) does not explicitly teaches the use of a "cardboard box" are not found particularly persuasive because the disclosed "primary packaging" is made from a "cardboard" box material such as cellulose fibers (col. 5, lines 23-26) and it is shaped into desired forms including corrugated cardboard-type packages or some generic boxes (col. 10, lines 24-33) within the meaning of the claims 1 and 99, for example. The cited patent clearly teaches the concept of cardboard box material being "infused" with the fungal inoculant and seeds (fig. 7, for example) since the fungal spores, fungal mycelium and plant seeds are incorporated into the cellulosic support matrix that is shaped into strips or sheets that form cardboard packages (col. 10, lines 26-33).

Application/Control Number: 10/614,906 Page 8

Art Unit: 1657

2. With regard to the claim rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) Applicant argues that the cited prior art neither teaches not suggest the use of "a cardboard box" and "saprophytic fungi" in the final product (response pages 9-11).

These arguments are not found persuasive because the cited prior art clearly discloses same or similar products that are delivery systems as intended to benefit plant growth and to fertilize crops or plants and that comprise cellulosic matrix used for making cardboard packages incorporating or "infused with" fungal inoculants and plant seeds. US 4,589,225 discloses incorporation of a generic fungal inoculate "MF" that is both "saprophytic" and "mycorrhizal" fungal inoculant within the broadest reasonable meaning of the claims. Furthermore, US 4,589,225 clearly suggests incorporation of both "MF" and "AB" microorganisms wherein "AB" belongs to actinomycetes (see US'225 at col. 2, lines 20-21 and at col. 1, line 32) that are strict saprophytes obtaining nutrients from dead or non-living organic matter.

The presently claimed fungal inoculant(s) is/are generic and not limited to any specific fungal species. It is well known that "mycorrhizal" fungi such as *Pisolithus tinctorius* are also saprophytes since they are capable to colonize non-living matter including cardboard materials and they also to develop ectomycorrhiza on root systems of plants such as *Picea abies*, as evidenced by the reference by Ineichen et al., for example: see abstract.

Furthermore, the term "box" or "cardboard box" is taught, suggested or at the very least encompassed by US 4,589,225 by teaching the use of a "container" (col. 4, line 31) made from "cardboard" (col.10, line 31).

Art Unit: 1657

Thus, the cited references are in the same field of endeavor and they seek to solve the same problems as the instant application and claims, and one of skill in the art is free to select components available in the prior art, *In re* Winslow, 151 USPQ 48 (CCPA, 1966).

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

The claimed subject matter fails to patentably distinguish over the state art as represented be the cited references. Therefore, the claims are properly rejected under 35 USC § 103.

No clams are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vera Afremova whose telephone number is (571) 272-0914. The examiner can normally be reached from Monday to Friday from 9.30 am to 6.00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon P. Weber, can be reached at (571) 272-0925.

The fax phone number for the TC 1600 where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 1657

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology center 1600, telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Vera Afremova

AU 1657

November 7, 2007

VERA AFREMOVA

PRIMARY EXAMINER